

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





Audit Details						
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC296713383 Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)			ZS296715094		
Business name (Company name):	Hans Raj Mahajan and Sons					
Site name:	Hans Raj Mahajan and Sons					
Site address: (Please include full address)	G.T Road, Suranussi Country: Jalandhar		India			
Site contact and job title:	Mr. Rajan Mehra					
Site phone:	0181-5018691		Site e-mail:		source@hansrajgroup.com	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	∠ Labour Standards	Health & Safety (plus Environment 2- Pillar)		Environment 4-pillar		⊠ Business Ethics
Date of Audit:						

TUV INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED



Report Owner (payer):

(If paid for by the customer of the site please remove for Sedex upload)

Hans Raj Mahajan and Sons

Audit Conducted By							
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes	Purchaser		Retailer			
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union			
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit (select all that apply)				

Audit company: TÜV NORD Cerf Report reference: 35291056 Date: 16.02.2021

Sedexglobal.com



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- FTI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Sedexglobal.com

Audit company: TÜV NORD Cert

Report reference: 35291056

Date: 16.02.2021



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Munish Joshi

Team auditor: NA

Interviewers: Munish Joshi

Report writer: Munish Joshi

Report reviewer: Mr. Salmaan Martin

Date of declaration: 16.02.2021

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.

Date: 16.02.2021



Audit Parameters

Audit Parameters					
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 0855 Day 1 Time out:1815	Day 2 Time in: 090 Day 2 Time out: 13			
B: Number of auditor days used:	2.00 including 1.5 on site				
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow–up Partial Follow–Up Partial Other If other, please define	Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up			
D: Was the audit announced?	Announced Semi – announced Unannounced	d: Window detail: w	veeks		
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	☐ Yes ☑ No If No, why not : Audite				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause				
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Mr. Rajan Mehra				
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No				
I: Previous audit date:	NA				
J: Previous audit type:	NA				
K: Were any previous audits reviewed	☐ Yes ☐ No				
for this audit					
Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representati	ves		
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives		

Addit diferidance	Management Worker kepresentatives		ves
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
A. Fresent at the opening meeting?			☐ 162 ☐ 140

Date: 16.02.2021



			⊠ NA
B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	NA		
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	NA		

Sedexglobal.com

Audit company: TÜV NORD Cerf

Report reference: 35291056

Date: 16.02.2021



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

Date: 16.02.2021



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances								
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non-Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
3. Safety and Hygienic Conditions	New	Emergency evacuation plan at production area was blocked with material.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Auditee to provide refresher trainings to all concerned about H & S.	60	D	Mr. Rajan Mehra		Open
3. Safety and Hygienic Conditions	New	Risk assessment related to COVID-19 spread to be done in more details.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Auditee to provide refresher trainings to all concerned about H & S and COVID-19 spread precautions.	60	D	Mr. Rajan Mehra		Open

Audit company: TÜV NORD Cert Report reference: 35291056 Date: 16.02.2021





Corrective Action Plan – Observations Observation New or **Details of Observation** Root cause Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX) Details of Observation (completed by the site) Number **Carried Over** The reference Is this a new number of the observation observation identified at from the Audit the follow-up or one carried Report, over (C) that is for example, Discrimination still outstanding No.7



	Good examples					
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments				

Audit company: TÜV NORD Cerf Report reference: 35291056 Date: 16.02.2021





SMETA* Sedex Audit Reference: 2021INZAA413911173 SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR) Version 6.1

Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming the If actual signatures are not possible in el	at the above findings have been discus ectronic versions, please state the nam	sed with and understood by you: (site managemen e of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating	t) the signature.		
A: Site Representative Signature:	Rajan Mehora	Title			
		Date 16.02.2021			
B: Auditor Signature:	4 1 1 1	Title			
Vi	Mush toli	Date 16.02.2021	Date 16.02.2021		
C: Please indicate below if you, the site	management, dispute any of the findin	gs. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes.			
D: I dispute the following numbered non	-compliances:				
		•			
E: Signed:	Ragen with.	Title			
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)	140	Date	8		
F: Any other site Comments:					
		*			

Audit company: TUV NORD ŒRT

Report reference: 35291056

Date: 16.02.2021





Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP

Report reference: 35291056

Date: 16.02.2021